

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 4-5, filed 31 January 2008, with respect to the rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) have been withdrawn. The cancellation of claim 20 obviates the rejection of claim 20 under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The amendments to independent claim 1 which incorporate previously noted allowable subject matter renders independent claim 1 allowable over the prior art. The remaining dependent claims are deemed allowable at least owing to their respective dependencies (direct or indirect) from independent claim 1.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

2. **Claims 1-6 and 8-13 are allowed.**

Claim 1 recite that, within a range between readability and non-scannability, a first set of non-standard fonts is introduced into the text at a first readability value and a second set of non-standard fonts is introduced into the text at a second readability value. Examiner has not found in the prior art the particular features of introducing two different non-standard fonts at two separate readability values. The closest prior art is the combination of Lee and Hasegawa, which were cited in the previous office action mailed 31 October 2007, and which do not fully teach claim 1. While the combination of Lee and Hasegawa would teach that there is a range of readability values, introducing two different sets of non-standard fonts at two different readability values is not taught by Lee and Hasegawa. Introducing non-standard fonts is effective in lowering the readability of the printed characters, and improves the overall functionality to the system. Additionally, a further search of the prior art does not reveal any other

Art Unit: 2625

references which teach, either alone or by combination, the limitations of claim 1. Thus, claim 1 is deemed to be allowable. Claims 2-6 and 8-13 are deemed allowable at least due to their dependencies, either direct or indirect, from claim 1.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Thompson whose telephone number is (571)272-7441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Edward L. Coles/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

James A. Thompson  
/J. A. T./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

11 March 2008